

“Ueber eine einfache Methode zur Begründung des Principis der virtuellen Verrückungen,” Ber. Verh. Kön. Sächs. Ges. Wiss. Leipzig **38** (1886), 70-74.

## On a simple method for establishing the principle of virtual velocities <sup>(1)</sup>

By C. Neumann

Translated by D. H. Delphenich

---

$n$  mass-points  $m_1 (x_1, y_1, z_1), m_2 (x_2, y_2, z_2), \dots, m_n (x_n, y_n, z_n)$  that are subject to the condition:

$$(1) \quad f(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2, \dots, x_n, y_n, z_n) = 0$$

might be in *equilibrium* under the influence of any sort of *unknown* forces  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ . The properties and formulas that this assumption of equilibrium implies for those unknown forces shall be developed.

The equilibrium that exists will obviously *not be perturbed* when one adds any sort of *new* restrictions to the condition (1) [rather, by contrast, it will only be further *strengthened* in the event that such an expression is allowed]. Thus, e.g., that equilibrium *will persist* when one *fixes* the  $(n - 1)$  points  $m_2, m_3, \dots, m_n$ , so one considers their coordinates to be *unvarying*, by which the motion of the point  $m_1$  will be restricted to that well-defined surface  $\Omega$  that is represented by equation (1) [when those coordinates are thought of as *unvarying*]. However, the original state of affairs will then be reduced by the fact that the point  $m_1$  that displaces on  $\Omega$  is found to be in equilibrium under the influence of the force  $P_1$ . It follows from this that the force  $P_1$  must be *normal* to the surface  $\Omega$ , so its components  $X_1, Y_1, Z_1$  must possess the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \lambda_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \\ Y_1 &= \lambda_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}, \\ Z_1 &= \lambda_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\lambda_1$  represents a still-unknown factor.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Presented and submitted for printing at the session on 8 March 1886.

Obviously, analogous statements will be true for the remaining forces  $P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n$  (their components  $X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_3, Y_3, Z_3, \dots, X_n, Y_n, Z_n$ , respectively), such that one will arrive at the following  $3n$  formulas:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} X_h &= \lambda_h \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_h}, \\ Y_h &= \lambda_h \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_h}, \\ Z_h &= \lambda_h \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_h}, \end{aligned} \quad h = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

in which  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  represent still-*unknown* factors.

Once the *directions* of the unknown forces  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  have been determined from formulas (2), one must further deal with investigating their *intensities*, or (what amounts to the same thing) with investigating the unknown factors  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ . In order to go deeper into that, we revert to the *original* situation, which mean that the points  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  that are subject to the condition (1) are in *equilibrium* under the influence of the forces  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ . That equilibrium will persist when one *spatially fixes* the  $(n - 2)$  points  $m_3, m_4, \dots, m_n$ , but restricts the motion of the two points  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  to two *fixed rectilinear tracks*, moreover, which might be *parallel*, and whose common direction cosines might be denoted by  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . Therefore, as a consequence of the condition (1), the points  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  can move along those tracks only in such a way that their simultaneously-traversed path-elements  $\delta s_1$  and  $\delta s_2$  will relate to each other as follows:

$$\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \alpha + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \beta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1} \gamma \right) \delta s_1 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \alpha + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \beta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_2} \gamma \right) \delta s_2 = 0,$$

and those simultaneous path-elements  $\delta s_1, \delta s_2$  will then be *equal* to each other as soon as one subjects the  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  to the relation:

$$(3) \quad \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \alpha + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \beta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1} \gamma \right) + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \alpha + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \beta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_2} \gamma \right) = 0.$$

Having established that, the points  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  can then be displaced along their *parallel* tracks  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by only *equal amounts*, so they can move only in such a way that their mutual distance remains *constant*. Consequently, that mobility of the two points will suffer no obstruction or restriction in the event that one couples the two points with each other by a *rigid line*  $L$ .

However, if one imagines implementing such a thing then that will now reduce the original situation by the fact that the *rigid line*  $L$ , whose endpoints  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  can displace along the *fixed parallel tracks*  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , will be in *equilibrium* under the influence of forces  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  that are applied to their endpoints. It follows immediately from this that the sum of those components of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  that correspond to the tracks must be equal to *zero*. One will then get the formula:

$$P_1 \cos (P_1, G_1) + P_2 \cos (P_2, G_2) = 0 .$$

However, that formula can also be written:

$$(X_1 \alpha + Y_1 \beta + Z_1 \gamma) + (X_2 \alpha + Y_2 \beta + Z_2 \gamma) = 0 ,$$

or when one recalls (2), also as:

$$\lambda_1 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \alpha + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \beta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1} \gamma \right) + \lambda_2 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \alpha + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \beta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_2} \gamma \right) = 0 ,$$

or, since  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are subject to the relation (3), also as:

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 .$$

Analogously, one will obviously get  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3$ , and furthermore,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3$ , etc., so in general:

$$(4) \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_n .$$

However, if one denotes the common value of those  $n$  quantities briefly by  $\lambda$  (with no index) then one will arrive at the following result, based upon formulas (2):

**Theorem:**

*If  $n$  mass-points  $m_h (x_h, y_h, z_h)$ , which are subject to a given condition:*

$$f(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2, \dots, x_h, y_h, z_h) = 0 ,$$

*are found to be in **equilibrium** under the influence of any forces  $F_h (X_h, Y_h, Z_h)$  then those forces must necessarily possess values of the following form:*

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} X_h &= \lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_h}, \\ Y_h &= \lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_h}, \\ Z_h &= \lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_h}, \end{aligned} \quad h = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

*in which  $\lambda$  represents an unknown factor.*

*In so doing, it should be added that this unknown factor  $\lambda$  does not need to have a well-defined value, but rather, it can possess **any arbitrary** value.*

In order to show the validity of the last assertion, we will obviously need to show only that *any* of the forces  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  (e.g.,  $P_1$ ) can have *arbitrary strength*.

To that end, we would like to *fix* the  $(n - 1)$  points  $m_2, m_3, \dots, m_n$  once more, such that only the point  $m_1$  can still be displaced along the (previously-discussed) surface  $\Omega$ . Obviously, that point  $m_1$  will then be in *equilibrium* under the influence of an *arbitrarily-strong* force  $P_1$  in the event that it is *normal* to the surface  $\Omega$ . However, if we now imagine one such normal force  $P_1$  of *arbitrary* strength actually acts upon  $m_1$ , and we denote the forces that are required to fix the  $(n - 1)$  points  $m_2, m_3, \dots, m_n$  by  $P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n$ , resp., then we will have  $n$  forces in total that will keep the point-system in equilibrium when taken together, and the strength of the first of them (namely,  $P_1$ ) can be chosen *arbitrarily*. Q.E.D.

However, we shall not go further into the question of how we must ultimately proceed in order to arrive the *principle of virtual displacements* from theorem (5).

**Remark.** – Should one have reservations about the fact that when one introduces the fixed parallel tracks  $G_1, G_2$ , the mutual distance between the two points  $m_1, m_2$  remains constant under the motion that takes place only *in the first instant*, then one can easily avoid that aspect of the situation by replacing those tracks  $G_1, G_2$  with two *fixed curves*  $C_1, C_2$ . Those curves  $C_1, C_2$  can then be easily established in such a way that the points  $m_1, m_2$  that displace along them and are subject to the condition (1), moreover, will possess a mutual distance that remains *perpetually* constant.

### First theorem:

*If three points  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  are subject to the condition that the area of the triangle that they define should remain **constant**, and if those points are found to be in **equilibrium** under the influence of any forces  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  then  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  will lie in the plane of the aforementioned triangle, as well as being perpendicular to the opposite sides of the triangle and being proportional to the lengths of those sides.*

### Second theorem:

*If four points  $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$  are subject to the condition that the volume of the tetrahedron that they define shall remain **constant**, and if those points are found to be in **equilibrium** under the influence of any forces  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$  then  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$  will be perpendicular to the opposite faces of the tetrahedron and be proportional to the areas of those sides.*

Leipzig, 15 January 1886.

---